

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/683,587	01/23/2002	Sergey Fridman		4136
31009	7590 07/31/2002			
VLADIMIR FRIDMAN			EXAMINER	
905 FILMORE ST. MONTEREY, CA 93940			FINEMAN, LEE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	

DATE MAILED: 07/31/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>cm</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/683,587	FRIDMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lee Fineman	2872
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. **CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI. **Notable cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	on .	
	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition fo	 allowance except for formal ma 	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 13-14, and 18</u> is/are reject	ted.	
7) Claim(s) <u>5-12 and 15-17, and 19-25</u> is/s		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction		
Application Papers	·	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the E		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2002	2ੂ is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ obj	ected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	on to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed o	n is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are require		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority do		
2. Certified copies of the priority do		
3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•
* See the attached detailed Office action f		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langu 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	Deen received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	1-948) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Reference numeral 6 is not shown in fig. 2. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of minor informalities. In line 1, "apparatus broadly comprises of backlighting means" should be --apparatus broadly comprises a backlighting means--. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Art Unit: 2872

In paragraph 18, line 1, paragraph 24, line 1, and paragraph 62, line 11 the double quotation mark should be a single quotation mark.

In paragraph 32, lines 2 and 3, and paragraph 49, line 2 "comprises of" or "comprising of" should be --comprises a-- or --comprising a--.

In paragraph 53, lines 1, paragraph 55, line 6, paragraph 57, line 2, and paragraph 58, lines 1 and 3, the incorrect figure is referenced. All references of "on fig. 2" should be --in fig. 3--.

In paragraph 50, line 3, the word "peace" is incorrect and should be --piece--. In paragraph 58, line 7, the word "lye" is incorrect and should be --lie--. In paragraph 60, line 10, the word "doe" is incorrect and should be --due--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 5. Claims 1-2, 10-14, 18-21, and 23-25 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.
- 6. Claims 13 and 18 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to



Art Unit: 2872

cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 13 and 18 do not include every limitation of the claim on which they depend.

7. Claims 5-12, 15-17, 19-20, 23, and 25 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-12, 15-17, and 19-25 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 9. Claims 1-2, 4, 13-14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mashitani et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,663, 831.

Art Unit: 2872

Mashitani et al. discloses an autostereoscopic display apparatus (column 6, lines 28-48) comprising a backlighting means (11 and 12) that is also a spatial light modulator/liquid crystal display, and a lens array comprising a plurality of elemental lenses (4) wherein every element is behaving as a converging lens (fig. 9) and an aperture screen (30) with an opaque material (31) and a plurality of apertures (32) place in front of the lens array and said apertures coincide with spots where the light emitted from the light source is focused by each elemental lens of the lens array (column 6, lines 11-48).

10. Claims 1, 3-4, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsumura et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,246,451 B1.

Regarding claims 1, 3 and 4, Matsumura et al. discloses an autostereoscopic display apparatus (fig. 1) comprising a backlighting means (10), a spatial light modulator (6) which is a liquid crystal display, and a lens array (4) comprising a plurality of elemental lenses (column 6 lines 40-44) wherein every element is behaving as a diverging lens (fig. 4).

Regarding claim 13, Matsumura et al. further discloses an image projector is so far as the image is projected to the eye with the display apparatus.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee Fineman whose telephone number is (703) 305-5414. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 4:00.

Art Unit: 2872

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached on (703) 308-1687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

LAF July 25, 2002

Cassandra Spyrou
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Page 6